1870-71 File No 10 of 1870
(L.R.) Serial No. 1-6.

Subject: Dismissal of Shambhoga
Puttaiah of Tavarekere
village in the Bangalore
Taluk.

P.F. 15 of 97

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1870-1871

191 .

Later references. F. No (L.R.) 1 105 of 96

File No. 10 of 1

Serial Nos. 1-

File No. 10 of 1870
S. No. 1—6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—6

major **Part B for** November 1889.

SUBJECT.

Dismissal of Shanbhogue
of Pattanja of Tavarekere Village
in the Bangalore Taluk

Mr No 1501 dated
over 1889

Pakkeer
Vaikkamaneah
of Tevarakkare
Vangalai Taluk

Pakkeer's father was dismissed from the office of Sheristy in 1869 & a stranger appointed in his place — Mr claimed the office in 1888 & his prayer was rejected. He now requests that he may be declared entitled to the appointment on the death of the present incumbent who is ailing. The following endorsement was passed.

"Mr is informed that his claim will be considered when the vacancy actually occurs — No declaratory order can be now issued declaring Pakkeer entitled to the office on the occurrence of a vacancy."

1/11/89

1/11/89

4

15-3-70

L.R. 10-70-1

L.R. 10-70-1

1/1

1/C92

Genl. 1870 No. 556.

From The Superintendent Standiwoog Division

To The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner of Mysore.

Dated 9: March 1870

Despatched 10 " "

Received 10th " "

Enclosures No. 2.

General No. 5372 / Gl. No. 1705 } 1869:70

The Secretary's F. O. M. 1961 of the 19: February 1870

Reports on the petition of Poottiah, Shanbogue of Thandekere of the Bangalore Taluk.

Dt. Cmr 4/3/70 DZ

=

11.3.70

Submitted herewith. Case in the Secretariat Office, Bangalore, 12/3/70. D. Li.

Commissioner's Office 10/3/70

21-3-70

Genl No 5372
Spl No 506.

Nandidroog Division.
Superintendents' Office.
Bangalore 9th March 1870.

To
The Secretary to the Chief Commissioner
for the Government of the Territories
of His Highness the Raja of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to Serjad Official Memo: No 1961 of the 19th February 1870, forwarding for report a petition from Doottiah Shanbogue of Tavarakéré of the Bangalore Taloork I have the honor to state for the Chief Commissioner's information that the petitioner was tried for numerous frauds and irregularities committed in the course of his official duties, and having been convicted on 15 out of 36 counts on which he was indicted, was dismissed from the office of Shanbogue by the Deputy Superintendent. He was further mulcted in a sum of Rupees 28-8-5 being the amount of which he had been proved to have defrauded the Government, by omitting to bring the assessment on certain lands to book.

Inclosures returned

2. On appeal Major Pearse declined to interfere with the Deputy Superintendent's order observing that the Deputy Superintendent had full power to punish Shanbogues.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servant.

Offg: Superintendent.

Genl 15-3-70

C 72

L.R. L.R. 16-7-70-2

Genl ~~Dipl~~ 3 — 1870 No. 6971 No. 372.

To The Supdt. of Vaccn &c

Dated 12th March 1870

Despatched 14 " —

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 6971 } 1870
Genl No. 372 }

Your letter No. 372/506 of 9th Instant, reporting on the Vaccination of Poothiah, Shanbagam & Savarekeri of the Bangalore Talook.

In reply intimate that an endorsement has been granted to the Dpty. to the effect that the Offg. Chief Commr. declines to interfere with the orders passed in this case by the Supdt.

8th 12/3/70 By Order
12/3/70 To Dpty for endorsement to Dpty
12/3 E. Vaccn Dpt 16/3/70

Extract from the Petition Register for the year 1882-83.

(General Serial No. 32.)

(3) 4

L.R. 10-70-3

1882-83

No. 1427/221

Name of Petitioner. Venkatramiah Son of Poolah late Shanbhog of

Village. Thavarekere

Taluk. Bangalore.

District. Bangalore

Date of Arzi. 21-7-1882

Date of Receipt. 11-8-1882

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Complains of his removal from the Shanbhog office and solicits restoration.

Order

Endorsement-

The Petitioner's father having been dismissed for an offence in 1868 and another person having been appointed to the office; the arrangement cannot be disturbed. Petitioner's claims will be considered on the occurrence of Vacancy.

(Signed) C.R.
11/8/82

Copied

(5)

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(

Order

Pet. has ~~no~~ no claim of
any kind to the Office of
Thanedom which was forfeited
to the family in 1869 - Even
if the Holm be regarded as
ancestral property Pet.
who was born after loss of
~~the~~ the Holm by his father
has no claim to the under
any rule of Hindu Law.

Appeal is dismissed

23/11/88

Office of the Dewan of Mysore

No 1976 (6)

Mysore 23rd November 1880
27th 9

I. R. 10-70-4

Endorsement-

In reply to his petition dated 23rd November 1880, Venkatramanna, son of late Shanbhog Thovappa, of Savarikere, Bangalore Taluk, is informed that he has no claim of any kind to the office of Shanbhog which was forfeited to the family in 1869. Even if the Huk be regarded as ancestral property, Petitioner, who was born after the loss of the Huk by his father, has no claim to it under any rule of Hindu Law. Appeal is dismissed. Enclosures are herewith returned.

By order

Chief Secretary

P. T. O

Draft -
Border

W

No 400 d/27/11/88.

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commr, Bangalore District, for information.

By order

Draft

Chief Secretary

10-7-05

12

12

No. 1501 (8)

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,

14th November 1889. 14

L. R. 10-70-6

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Venkataramanayya of Tavarekere, Bangalore Talk.

Endorsement.

In reply to his Petition, dated 15th October 1889

~~Venkataramanayya~~ of Tavarekere, Bangalore Talk, is informed that his claim will be considered when the vacancy actually occurs. No order can be now issued declaring Petitioner entitled to the office on the occurrence of a vacancy.

The enclosures are returned.

By order

Under Secretary.